

SENATOR NORE: Fellow members, I think that probably 75% of our business people in the State of Nebraska are making \$25,000 a year. That is they hope they can make it. They probably have invested maybe 100 or 200 or \$300,000 in the business, with all the risks that they might lose, they might go broke, lose everything, that I think 75% of them would be tickled to death to take a job at \$16,000 if they were guaranteed they were going to get the \$16,000. That, let's think about that. Especially among the farmers and I like sure things and when you take a job at \$16,000 a salary, it is a damn site better than taking one at \$25,000 and you are not sure you are going to get it.

PRESIDENT: Chair recognizes Senator Cavanaugh.

SENATOR CAVANAUGH: Mr. President, members of the body, I would support Senator Carpenters motion. I think that each of us in this body, I'm afraid I gave Senator Barnett a little start. Take it easy Wally. I think each of us in this body, knows that the salaries that we incorporated in this bill are just adequate. I might reply to Senator Nore, because I think he brings up another point that even greater exemplifys the need for increased salaries in the area of constitutional officers. When he talks about the risk involved. There are certainly a great deal of risk in public service. There is the risk that you depart from your normal life pursuit. Your normal business pursuit to participate in the elective process. With all the demands that that places on any person who does that and you have a continual risk that, that political life will be short lived and the disruption that it has caused your normal life, may not be retrievable after you have exerted yourself in the area of public service and certainly to, there should be some consideration give to that risk, that I think each of us is aware of, the potential danger that that has to your normal life style and to the potential detriment that, that can eventually serve to yourself and your family and so there is a good deal of risk in public service and the salaries themselves I think are commensurate that we have recommended, are commensurate with the duties that those people exercise and certainly the risk that they take and the inconvenience and disruption of their personal lives, to the consideration also to be weighed, when you are talking about these salaries. So I'll support Senator Carpenters motion,

PRESIDENT: Senator Stull, then Senator Chambers.

SENATOR STULL: Mr. President, members of the legislature, I've heard time or two and in the talks that this is a good bill. It is fair. Now I have only one objection with the bill. I voted against this bill the other day and I'm going to vote against an over ride and for one reason only. How in the world can we say this is a fair bill when salary for the Governor is going to be less than some of these other officers and even though the present Governor said he didn't want a raise, I don't think we should say that we're setting the salary for the Governor. Something may happen and he may not even run for re-election. We're setting the salary for the office, not for the man that is occupying the chair at the present time, and I think the Governor's salary should be higher than any of the others. Thank-you.

PRESIDENT: Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. President, members of the legislature, I support the move to over ride the Governor's veto. I've had differences with the attorney general on specific issues, but my concern is greatly involved with the criminal justice system, not only in the State, but in the whole country and I do believe that the amount of salary offered for a position determines to some extent, the quality of an individual you can get to take this position. In private practice, or with a corporation, a competent individual should be able to make more